THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.21128 of 2005

Date:28.09.2005

Deepthi Hasini Nedari

----------Petitioner

The State of Andhra Pradesh and others

----------Respondents

ORDER:

The petitioner appeared in the Entrance Examination for selection of candidates for admission into I-Year B.Sc.(Nursing) Course. She secured the rank of 2137. She states that she was selected for admission in the first round of counselling itself and that on account of communication gap, she was denied admission, alleging that she was not present, when her name was called for the second time. Her present grievance is that the respondents are not considering her case in the third round of counselling, on the ground that she has already been considered for admission, during the first round of counselling.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for respondents 2 and 4.

It is a matter of record that the petitioner secured a rank of 2137 in the Entrance Examination conducted during the current academic year and that she appeared in the first round of counselling. It is stated that she left the premises after verification of her rank, on the impression that original certificates have to be produced before the college to which she is allotted. However, the name of the petitioner appears to have been called, for the second time, and on the ground that she did not respond, she was not allotted to any college.

It is not in dispute that a notification was issued by the University proposing to conduct the third round of counselling between 01.10.2005 to 03.10.2005. Neither the prospectus nor the notification disqualifies any candidates who missed their chance, during the earlier rounds of counselling. The petitioner, who turned out to be a meritorious student, cannot be denied admission, on account of a minor lapse due to communication gap.

For the foregoing reasons, the writ petition is disposed of, directing that the respondents shall consider the case of the petitioner in the third round of counseling, in accordance with her merit. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

28.09.2005

Jsu